



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	American Journal of Experimental Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	2013_AJEA_6352
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF WATER LOSSES AND MAINTENANCE OF CANAL IRRIGATION SYSTEM ON AGRICULTURE
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	13. a considerable decrease in the yield of wheat and --- was observed 15. it is unhealthy to generalize the remedial measures because of some peculiarities that might be operating in different areas. 23. Take off General and leave just Introduction 34 – 48 could stand as literature review and not the introduction. Instead, Introduction should capture the connection between water and agriculture, water losses and agriculture 55 indicate the proportion of reduction 56 – 58 avoid the issue of generalization. Why generalize? Your point must be very convincing before you can talk of generalization 61-71 (objectives) could be summarized and announced at the last paragraph of the problem. This is a paper and not a thesis/report. 95 (Methodology): Apart from determining conveyance and seepage losses, you should also indicate the method used to determine its impact on agriculture (wheat and the other crops considered)	13. Done 15. Done 23. Done 34-48. Modified as suggested 55. Done 56-58. Done 61-71. done 95. done



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	8. a study was conducted between may and august 2005 to (Consider revisiting the situation as of 2010 because changes might have been registered)	The situation is still the same as such the authors strongly realized to publish their work as it is a very hot issues which needs to be highlighted and addressed
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Good Topic and presentation but Some key issues need to be addressed to improve on the quality of the paper.	